arXiv:math/0108114v1 [math.FA] 16 Aug 2001

A CLASS OF NON-MRA BAND-LIMITED WAVELETS

BISWARANJAN BEHERA AND SHOBHA MADAN

Abstract. We give a characterization of a class of band-limited wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and show that none of these wavelets come from a multiresolution analysis (MRA). For each $n \geq 2$, we construct a subset S_n of $\mathbb{R}$ which is symmetric with respect to the origin. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $\hat{\psi} \subseteq S_n$ to be an orthonormal wavelet. This result generalizes the characterization of a class of wavelets of E. Hernández and G. Weiss. The dimension functions associated with these wavelets are also computed explicitly. Starting from the wavelets we have constructed, we are able to construct examples of wavelets in each of the equivalence classes of wavelets defined by E. Weber.

1. Introduction

A function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be an *orthonormal wavelet*, or simply a *wavelet*, if the system of functions $\{\psi_{j,k} : j,k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j x - k), \quad j,k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if ψ satisfies the following four conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi+2m\pi)\right)} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } m \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1. \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(\xi+2k\pi)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}(\xi+2k\pi)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi+2k\pi)\right)} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } j \geq 1. \tag{1.4}$$

We use the following definition of the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-i\xi x}dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The fact that equations (1.1)–(1.4) characterize all wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ was observed by many authors. Lemarié and Meyer [8] obtained these equations for compactly supported wavelets. Bonami, Soria and Weiss [1] proved this fact under the assumption that ψ is band-limited, i.e., $\hat{\psi}$ is compactly supported. For a function

Date: July 2, 2001.

Key words and phrases. wavelet, MRA-wavelet, multiresolution analysis, dimension function.

The first author was supported by The National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Govt. of India.

ψ to be a wavelet, it is necessary that $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$. With this assumption on ψ, the following theorem was proved independently by G. Gripenberg [3] and X. Wang [11] (see also [4]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$. Then ψ is a wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if ψ satisfies* (1.1) *and* (1.2).

For a proof of this theorem we refer to Chapter 7 of [5]. It was observed in [1] that the orthonormality of the system $\{\psi_{j,k} : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is characterized by (1.3) and (1.4), and completeness by (1.1) and (1.2) (see also [5]). A consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that equations (1.1) and (1.2), along with the assumption $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$, imply the equations (1.3) and (1.4).

If ψ is a wavelet, then the support of $\hat{\psi}$ must have measure at least 2π. This minimal measure is achieved only when $|\hat{\psi}|$ is the characteristic function of some measurable subset K of $\mathbb{R}$. Such a wavelet is called a *minimally supported frequency* (MSF) wavelet and the associated set K is called a *wavelet set*. We refer to [5] for proofs of the above statements.

A function is said to be *band-limited* if its Fourier transform has compact support. The simplest example of a band-limited wavelet is the Shannon wavelet whose Fourier transform is the characteristic function of the set $S = [-2\pi, -\pi] \cup [\pi, 2\pi]$. Lemarié and Meyer ([7], [9]) constructed a band-limited wavelet belonging to the Schwartz class. This wavelet satisfies $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = e^{i\xi/2} b(\xi)$, where b is an even, non-negative "bell-shaped" function and its support is equal to the set $[-\frac{8}{3}\pi, -\frac{2}{3}\pi] \cup [\frac{2}{3}\pi, \frac{8}{3}\pi]$. In [1] the authors characterized all wavelets ψ such that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is an even continuous function whose support is equal to the set $[-2\pi-\epsilon', -\pi+\epsilon] \cup [\pi-\epsilon, 2\pi+\epsilon']$, where $\epsilon, \epsilon' > 0$ and $\epsilon + \epsilon' \leq \pi$, so that this class includes the Lemarié-Meyer wavelet as a particular case. Later, in [6] (see also Theorem 4.1, Chapter 3 of [5]), the restriction on ψ (i.e., $|\hat{\psi}|$ is even and continuous) was removed and all wavelets with Fourier transform supported in $[-\frac{8}{3}\pi, -\frac{2}{3}\pi] \cup [\frac{2}{3}\pi, \frac{8}{3}\pi]$ were characterized[1].

This article is organised as follows. In §2 we construct a set S_n for each $n \geq 2$, which is symmetric with respect to the origin and is a union of four intervals. In §3 all wavelets with Fourier transform supported in S_n are characterized. Next, in §4 we show that none of these wavelets is associated with an MRA. For a wavelet to be associated with an MRA, it is necessary and sufficient that the corresponding dimension function is equal to 1 a.e. In §5 we compute the dimension function explicitly and show that it is an even function and takes all integral values from 0 to the maximum value it attains. This provides an alternative proof of the fact that these wavelets are not MRA-wavelets. An equivalence relation on the set of all wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ was defined in [12]. Let $\mathcal{M}_n$ be the corresponding equivalence classes. Using the wavelets characterized in §3, we construct in §6 examples of wavelets in each $\mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 1$. Finally, we construct a family of wavelets in the equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_0$.

[1] In fact, they characterized all wavelets with Fourier transform supported in the set $[-\frac{8}{3}a, 4\pi - \frac{4}{3}a]$, $0 < a \leq \pi$. It was also shown that for such a wavelet ψ, it is necessary that $\hat{\psi} = 0$ a.e. on $[-\frac{2}{3}a, 2\pi - \frac{4}{3}a]$.

2. Construction of the set S_n

Let $n \geq 2$. Put

$$a_n = \tfrac{2^{n-1}}{2^n-1}\pi, \qquad b_n = 2a_n = \tfrac{2^n}{2^n-1}\pi,$$
$$c_n = \tfrac{2^{n-1}(2^n-2)}{2^n-1}\pi, \quad d_n = 2^n a_n = \tfrac{2^{2n-1}}{2^n-1}\pi.$$

Define $S_n = S_n^+ \cup S_n^-$, where $S_n^+ = [a_n, b_n] \cup [c_n, d_n]$ and $S_n^- = -(S_n^+)$.

Remark 2.1.
1. If $n = 2$, then $a_2 = \frac{2}{3}\pi$, $b_2 = c_2 = \frac{4}{3}\pi$ and $d_2 = \frac{8}{3}\pi$. So S_2 is the set associated with the Lemarié-Meyer wavelets.
2. As n increases, the set $[a_n, b_n]$ moves closer to 0 whereas $[c_n, d_n]$ moves farther away. Also observe that the measure of the set S_n is $2\big(\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n-1}\pi + \frac{2^n}{2^n-1}\pi\big)$ which approaches 3π as n tends to infinity.

All terms in the equations (1.1)–(1.4) that characterize all wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ involve dilations by powers of 2 and translations by integral multiples of 2π. In the following lemma, for various subsets of S_n, we identify those translates and dilates which are possibly in S_n. We present the lemma in a tabular form for easy reference.

Lemma 2.2. *For $n \geq 3$, let S_n be as above, and let $e_n = \frac{2^n-2}{2^n-1}\pi$. Then*

$\xi \in$ *the set*	$\xi + 2k\pi \notin S_n$ *unless*	$2^j\xi \notin S_n$ *unless*
$[a_n, e_n]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[e_n, b_n]$	$k = 0$ *or* -1	$j = 0$ *or* $n-1$
$[c_n, d_n]$	$k = 0$ *or* -2^{n-1}	$j = 0$ *or* $-(n-1)$
$[-e_n, -a_n]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[-b_n, -e_n]$	$k = 0$ *or* 1	$j = 0$ *or* $n-1$
$[-d_n, -c_n]$	$k = 0$ *or* 2^{n-1}	$j = 0$ *or* $-(n-1)$

Observe that

$$[e_n, b_n] - 2\pi = [-b_n, -e_n],$$
$$2^{n-1}[e_n, b_n] = [c_n, d_n],$$

and

$$[c_n, d_n] - 2 \cdot 2^{n-1}\pi = [-d_n, -c_n].$$

3. The characterization

The following theorem characterizes all wavelets ψ such that supp $\hat{\psi}$ is contained in S_n. The case $n = 2$ is Theorem 4.1 of Chapter 3 in [5] in which case the wavelets are MRA-wavelets.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,* supp $\hat{\psi} \subseteq S_n$ *and $b(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|$. Then ψ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if*

(i) $b(\xi) = 1$ *for a.e.* $\xi \in [a_n, e_n] \cup [-e_n, -a_n]$,
(ii) $b^2(\xi) + b^2(2^{n-1}\xi) = 1$ *for a.e.* $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$,
(iii) $b^2(\xi) + b^2(\xi - 2\pi) = 1$ *for a.e.* $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$,
(iv) $b(\xi) = b\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right)$ *for a.e.* $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$,

(v) $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = e^{i\theta(\xi)}b(\xi)$, *where* θ *satisfies*

$$\theta(\xi) + \theta\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right) - \theta(\xi - 2\pi) - \theta(2^{n-1}\xi) = (2m(\xi) + 1)\,\pi,$$

for some $m(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}$, *for a.e.* $\xi \in [e_n, b_n] \cap (\text{supp } b) \cap (\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b)$.

Moreover, for $n \geq 3$, *none of these wavelets arise from an MRA.*

Remark 3.2. 1. Observe that it follows from Theorem 3.1 that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is completely determined by its values on $[e_n, b_n]$. On this set, let $b = |\hat{\psi}|$ be an arbitrary measurable function taking values between 0 and 1. Using the relations following Lemma 2.2, $|\hat{\psi}|$ can be extented to other sets of S_n with the help of properties (i)–(iv). Properties (ii), (iii) and (iv) can also be written as

$$b^2(\xi) + b^2\Big(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi\Big) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [c_n, d_n], \tag{3.1}$$

$$b^2(\xi) + b^2(\xi + 2\pi) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [-b_n, -e_n], \tag{3.2}$$

$$b\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi + 2\pi\right) = b(\xi) \quad \text{for a.e. } [-d_n, -c_n]. \tag{3.3}$$

2. If $(\text{supp } b) \cap (\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b)$ has an empty interior in $[e_n, b_n]$, then θ can be chosen to be any measurable function. In particular, we can take $\theta(\xi) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1

First let us assume that ψ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, supp $\hat{\psi} \subseteq S_n$ and $b = |\hat{\psi}|$. So ψ satisfies equations (1.1)–(1.4). Consider equality (1.3) :

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} b^2(\xi + 2k\pi) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We use Lemma 2.2 to pick out the non-zero terms in this sum. If $|\xi| \in [a_n, e_n]$, then only $k = 0$ will contribute to the sum. So we get $b(\xi) = 1$ a.e., which is (i) of the theorem. For $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$, we get non-zero contributions from $k = 0$ and $k = -1$. That is, $b^2(\xi) + b^2(\xi - 2\pi) = 1$ for a.e. ξ which proves (iii). Also if $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$, then $2^{n-1}\xi \in [c_n, d_n]$, and we get (non-zero terms now correspond to $k = 0$ and $k = -2^{n-1}$)

$$b^2(2^{n-1}\xi) + b^2(2^{n-1}\xi - 2^n\pi) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n]. \tag{3.4}$$

This can also be written as

$$b^2(\xi) + b^2(\xi - 2^n\pi) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [c_n, d_n]. \tag{3.5}$$

Now consider equality (1.1):

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} b^2(2^j\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$, the only possible non-zero terms correspond to $j = 0$ and $j = n-1$. So we conclude $b^2(\xi) + b^2(2^{n-1}\xi) = 1$. This proves (ii). Combining (ii) and (3.4) we get condition (iv). It remains to prove condition (v). For this purpose we consider equality (1.4) with $j = n - 1$:

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}(\xi + 2k\pi)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-1}(\xi + 2k\pi)\right)} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$, the non-zero terms in the sum come from $k = 0$ and -1. Hence,

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}\xi)} + \hat{\psi}(\xi - 2\pi)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right)} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n]. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (iii), (3.4) and (3.6), we see that for almost every $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$, the vectors

$$\left(\hat{\psi}(\xi), \hat{\psi}(\xi - 2\pi)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}\xi), \hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi))\right)$$

are orthonormal in $\mathbb{C}^2$. If we let $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = e^{i\theta(\xi)}b(\xi)$, then it follows that, for some real-valued measurable function α,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\alpha(\xi)}\left(e^{i\theta(\xi)}b(\xi), e^{i\theta(\xi-2\pi)}b(\xi-2\pi)\right) \\ & = \left(-e^{-i\theta(2^{n-1}(\xi-2\pi))}b(2^{n-1}(\xi-2\pi)), e^{-i\theta(2^{n-1}\xi)}b(2^{n-1}\xi)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for a.e. $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. But from (ii), (iii) and (iv), we know that

$$\left.\begin{aligned} b(2^{n-1}\xi) &= b(\xi - 2\pi) \\ b(\xi) &= b\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{for a.e } \xi \in [e_n, b_n]. \tag{3.8}$$

So (3.7) can be written as

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \left[e^{i\alpha(\xi)}e^{i\theta(\xi)} + e^{-i\theta\left(2^{n-1}(\xi-2\pi)\right)}\right] b(\xi) &= 0 \\ \left[e^{i\alpha(\xi)}e^{i\theta(\xi-2\pi)} - e^{-i\theta(2^{n-1}\xi)}\right] b(2^{n-1}\xi) &= 0 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n].$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\alpha(\xi)} &= e^{i[\theta(\xi)+\theta(2^{n-1}(\xi-2\pi))+\pi]} && \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n] \cap \text{supp } b. \\ e^{-i\alpha(\xi)} &= e^{i[\theta(\xi-2\pi)+\theta(2^{n-1}\xi)]} && \text{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n] \cap (\tfrac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for almost every $\xi \in [e_n, b_n] \cap \text{supp } b \cap (\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b)$, we have

$$\theta(\xi) + \theta\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right) - \theta(\xi - 2\pi) - \theta(2^{n-1}\xi) = (2m(\xi) + 1)\,\pi,$$

for some integer-valued measurable function m. This proves (v).

We now prove the converse. Suppose $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, supp $\hat{\psi} \subseteq S_n$ and the function $b(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|$ satisfies conditions (i)–(v) of the theorem. By Theorem 1.1, to show that ψ is a wavelet, it is sufficient to show that $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$ and ψ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2). We have,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\|\psi\|_2^2 &= \|\hat{\psi}\|_2^2 = \int_{S_n} |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \\ &= \left(\int_{-d_n}^{-c_n} + \int_{-b_n}^{-e_n} + \int_{-e_n}^{-a_n} + \int_{a_n}^{e_n} + \int_{e_n}^{b_n} + \int_{c_n}^{d_n}\right) b^2(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= \int_{-d_n}^{-c_n} + \int_{c_n}^{d_n} + \int_{-b_n}^{-e_n} + \int_{e_n}^{b_n} + 2(e_n - a_n). \end{aligned}$$

By changing variables $\xi \to 2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)$, $\xi \to 2^{n-1}\xi$ and $\xi \to \xi - 2\pi$ in the first, second and third integrals respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\|\psi\|_2^2 &= 2^{n-1}\int_{e_n}^{b_n} \left[b^2\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right) + b^2(2^{n-1}\xi)\right] d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{e_n}^{b_n} \left[b^2(\xi - 2\pi) + b^2(\xi)\right] d\xi + 2(e_n - a_n) \\ &= 2^{n-1}(b_n - e_n) + (b_n - e_n) + 2(e_n - a_n) = 2\pi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.4) and property (iii) of the theorem. Hence, $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$.

We will now show that ψ satisfies (1.1). Let

$$\rho(\xi) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |\ \hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\ |^2\ .$$

Suppose $\xi > 0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^+ &= \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^l[a_n, b_n] \\ &= \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^l\left([a_n, e_n]\cup[e_n, b_n]\right).\end{aligned}$$

So there is an $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\xi \in 2^l[a_n, e_n] \cup 2^l[e_n, b_n]$. If $\xi \in 2^l[a_n, e_n]$ then since $2^{-l}\xi \in [a_n, e_n]$, by Lemma 2.2 we have, $2^j(2^{-l}\xi) \notin S_n$ if $j \neq 0$. That is, $2^j\xi \notin S_n$ if $j \neq -l$. Hence, $\rho(\xi) = 1$, by (i). Similarly by using (ii), we can prove that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ if $\xi \in 2^l[e_n, b_n]$. A similar decomposition for $\xi < 0$ proves that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we have to show that ψ satisfies (1.2). For $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, let us denote the function on the left hand side of (1.2) by $t_m(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}t_m(\xi) &= \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2m\pi)\right)} \\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2m\pi - 2m\pi)\right)\overline{\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2m\pi)\right)} \\ &= \overline{t_{-m}(\xi + 2m\pi)}. \qquad (3.9)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have only to show that $t_m(\xi) = 0$ for a.e. ξ, if $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_- + 1$ (negative odd integers). Suppose $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_- + 1$ and $m \neq -1$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $2^j\xi \in S_n$. Since $j \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $m \neq -1$ and is odd, therefore $2^j m \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2^{n-1}$. So by Lemma 2.2, we have $2^j\xi + 2 \cdot 2^j m\pi \notin S_n$. This implies that each term of $t_m(\xi)$ is zero which proves that t_m is zero. It now remains to show that $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$ for a.e ξ. We have

$$t_{-1}(\xi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi - 2 \cdot 2^j\pi)}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $2^j\xi \in S_n$. Then again by Lemma 2.2, $2^j\xi - 2\cdot 2^j\pi \notin S_n$ if $-2^j \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2^{n-1}$. So the only possible j's to contribute a non-zero term are $j = 0$ and $j = n - 1$. Thus,

$$t_{-1}(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(\xi - 2\pi)} + \hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}\xi - 2 \cdot 2^{n-1}\pi)}. \qquad (3.10)$$

Now, both ξ and $\xi - 2\pi$ belong to S_n only if $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. Hence, the first term of (3.10) is zero unless $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. Similarly, both $2^{n-1}\xi$ and $2^{n-1}\xi - 2\cdot 2^{n-1}\pi$ belong to S_n only when $2^{n-1}\xi \in [c_n, d_n]$, which is equivalent to saying that $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. That is, the second term of (3.10) is also zero unless $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. Thus we get, $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \notin [e_n, b_n]$. Now on $[e_n, b_n]$, we have, by (3.8)

$$t_{-1}(\xi) = b(\xi)b(2^{n-1}\xi)e^{i[\theta(\xi)-\theta(\xi-2\pi)]} + b(2^{n-1}\xi)b(\xi)e^{i[\theta(2^{n-1}\xi)+\theta\left(2^{n-1}(\xi-2\pi)\right)]}.$$

If $\xi \notin [e_n, b_n] \cap \text{supp } b \cap (\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b)$, then either $b(\xi) = 0$ or $b(2^{n-1}\xi) = 0$. So $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$. And if $\xi \in [e_n, b_n] \cap \text{supp } b \cap (\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b)$, then by (v), $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$. This completes the characterization. □

There is another equation which characterizes all wavelets ψ such that $\hat{\psi}$ is supported in the set S_n and the function $b = |\hat{\psi}|$ is even. For $n = 2$, the following proposition is proved in [5] (see Proposition 4.7, Chapter 3). We observe that the result can be extended to the general case.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that ψ is a wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $b = |\hat{\psi}|$, and* supp $b \subseteq S_n$. *Then b is almost everywhere even if and only if*

$$b^2(\xi) + b^2(2\pi - \xi) = 1 \quad \textit{for a.e. } \xi \in [e_n, b_n]. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof: Let ψ be a wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $b = |\hat{\psi}|$ is supported in S_n. Suppose that b is an even function and $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. Since $-\xi \in [-b_n, -e_n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= b^2(-\xi) + b^2(-\xi + 2\pi), \quad \text{by (3.2)} \\ &= b^2(\xi) + b^2(2\pi - \xi), \quad \text{since } b \text{ is even,} \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.11).

Conversely, suppose that (3.11) holds. Since by (i) of Theorem 3.1, b is even on $[a_n, e_n]$, it is enough to show that b is even on the sets $[e_n, b_n]$ and $[c_n, d_n]$.
(a) Let $\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. Therefore, $-\xi \in [-b_n, -e_n]$. Then

$$b^2(-\xi) + b^2(-\xi + 2\pi) = 1, \quad \text{by (3.2).}$$

This fact, together with (3.11), gives us

$$b(\xi) = b(-\xi). \tag{3.12}$$

(b) Now let $\xi \in [c_n, d_n]$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi \in [e_n, b_n]$. From (3.12) we get

$$b\Big(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi\Big) = b\Big(-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi\Big). \tag{3.13}$$

Since $-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi \in [-b_n, -e_n]$, using (3.2) we obtain

$$b^2\Big(-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi\Big) + b^2\Big(-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi + 2\pi\Big) = 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, since $-\xi \in [-d_n, -c_n]$, we get (using (3.3))

$$b\Big(-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi + 2\pi\Big) = b(-\xi). \tag{3.15}$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.15) in (3.14), we get

$$b^2\Big(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\xi\Big) + b^2(-\xi) = 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Comparing (3.16) and (3.1) we get $b(\xi) = b(-\xi)$. This proves the proposition. □

4. The wavelets associated with S_n, $n \geq 3$ are non-MRA

A multiresolution analysis (MRA) is a sequence of closed subspaces $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$
(ii) $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\cap_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$
(iii) $f \in V_j$ if and only if $f(2\cdot) \in V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$
(iv) there exists a function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for V_0.

A function φ that satisfies property (iv) is called a *scaling function* for the MRA. If φ is a scaling function for a given MRA, then it is easy to see that (see [5]) there exists a 2π-periodic function m_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, called the *low-pass filter*, such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(2\xi) = m_0(\xi)\hat{\varphi}(\xi). \tag{4.1}$$

The function m_0 satisfies the equation

$$|m_0(\xi)|^2 + |m_0(\xi+\pi)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for a.e } \xi \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{4.2}$$

Using (4.1) and (4.2), one can then construct a wavelet ψ associated with the MRA. For any such wavelet the following equation holds (see [5] for details):

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 = \sum_{j\geq 1} |\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)|^2. \tag{4.3}$$

We will now prove the last statement in Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 4.1. *If $n \geq 3$, then the wavelets characterized in Theorem* 3.1 *are not associated with any MRA.*

Proof: Let ψ be any wavelet such that $\hat{\psi}$ is supported in S_n and let it be associated with an MRA. Let φ be the corresponding scaling function and m_0 be the low-pass filter associated with φ. Using (4.3) we can easily find that

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq a_n \\ b(2^{n-l-1}\xi) & \text{if } |\xi| \in 2^l[e_n, b_n],\ 0 \leq l \leq n-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Hence,

$$|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \frac{a_n}{2} \\ b(2^{n-l}\xi) & \text{if } |\xi| \in 2^{l-1}[e_n, b_n],\ 0 \leq l \leq n-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Case 1: $b(\xi) \not\equiv 1$ on $[e_n, b_n]$, i.e., $b(\xi) \not\equiv 0$ on $[c_n, d_n]$.

If $|\xi| \leq a_n$, then from (4.1) and (4.4), we have $|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)|\cdot|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)|$. Therefore, by (4.5), we obtain $|m_0(\xi)| = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq \frac{a_n}{2}$. Since m_0 is 2π-periodic, we have

$$|m_0(\xi)| = 1 \quad \text{on } \left[-\tfrac{a_n}{2}, \tfrac{a_n}{2}\right] + 2\cdot 2^{n-3}\pi.$$

Note that $[-\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{a_n}{2}] + 2\cdot 2^{n-3}\pi = [\frac{c_n}{2}, \frac{d_n}{2}] = 2^{n-2}[e_n, b_n]$. Now, from (4.4), on $2^{n-2}[e_n, b_n]$ we have $|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = b(2\xi)$. So $|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)|\cdot|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = b(2\xi)$. But by (4.5), $|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = 0$ on $2^{n-2}[e_n, b_n]$. Therefore, $b(2\xi) = 0$ on $2^{n-2}[e_n, b_n]$. That is, $b(\xi) = 0$ on $2^{n-1}[e_n, b_n] = [c_n, d_n]$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2: $b(\xi) \equiv 1$ on $[e_n, b_n]$.

As above, we have

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq a_n \\ & \text{or if } \xi \in 2^l[-b_n, -e_n],\ 0 \leq l \leq n-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore,

$$|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \le \frac{a_n}{2} \\ & \text{or if } \xi \in 2^{l-1}[-b_n, -e_n],\ 0 \le l \le n-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

Now $|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)| \cdot |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)|$ if $|\xi| \le a_n$, by (4.6). Therefore, by (4.7), $|m_0(\xi)| = 1$ if $|\xi| \le \frac{a_n}{2}$. Using the 2π-periodicity of m_0, we get

$$|m_0(\xi)| = 1 \quad \text{on } \left[-\tfrac{a_n}{2}, \tfrac{a_n}{2}\right] - 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi.$$

Note that $[-\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{a_n}{2}] - 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi = [-\frac{d_n}{2}, -\frac{c_n}{2}] = 2^{n-2}[-b_n, -e_n]$. Hence, on the interval $2^{n-2}[-b_n, -e_n]$, we have

$$|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)| \cdot |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| = |m_0(\xi)| = 1.$$

But by (4.7), $|\hat{\varphi}(2\xi)| = 0$ on $2^{n-2}[-b_n, -e_n]$, which is again a contradiction. Therefore, the wavelets characterized in Theorem 3.1 are non-MRA wavelets, if $n \ge 3$. □

5. The dimension functions of wavelets associated with S_n

Let ψ be any wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Define V_j to be the closure of the span of $\{\psi_{l,k} : l < j,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an MRA of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then we say that ψ is associated with an MRA, or ψ is an MRA-wavelet.

Not every wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is associated with an MRA. In the last section we proved that the wavelets associated with the set S_n are non-MRA wavelets. The first example of a non-MRA wavelet, which is an MSF wavelet, was given by J.L. Journé. The associated wavelet set is $J = \left[-\frac{32}{7}\pi, -4\pi\right] \cup \left[-\pi, -\frac{4}{7}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{4}{7}\pi, \pi\right] \cup \left[4\pi, \frac{32}{7}\pi\right]$. Another interesting non-MRA wavelet is the Lemarié wavelet which is also an MSF wavelet with the corresponding wavelet set $L = \left[-\frac{8}{7}\pi, -\frac{4}{7}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{4}{7}\pi, \frac{6}{7}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{24}{7}\pi, \frac{32}{7}\pi\right]$. These two wavelets belong to the class of wavelets characterized in §3 (see Remark 5.2 below).

Given a wavelet ψ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, there is an associated function D_ψ, called the *dimension function*, defined by

$$D_\psi(\xi) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2k\pi)\right)|^2.$$

It was proved independently by Gripenberg and Wang that a wavelet ψ is an MRA-wavelet if and only if $D_\psi = 1$ a.e. For a proof of this fact see [5].

In this section we will compute the dimension functions for the wavelets characterized in Theorem 3.1. This will provide an alternative proof of the fact that these wavelets are not associated with any MRA. These dimension functions are symmetric with respect to the origin and attain all integral values starting from 0 to the maximum value. Also note that if $n \ge 3$, then all wavelets whose Fourier transform is supported in S_n have the same dimension function D_n. We will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Fix $n \geq 3$. Let ψ be a wavelet such that* supp $\hat{\psi} \subseteq S_n$ *and let D_n be the dimension function associated with ψ. Then*

$$D_n(\xi) = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{a.e. if } |\xi| \in \left[0, \frac{2}{2^n-1}\pi\right] \\ r-1 & \text{a.e. if } |\xi| \in \left[\frac{2^{n-r}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-r+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right] \ (2 \leq r \leq n-1) \\ 0 & \text{a.e. if } |\xi| \in \left[\frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^n-2}{2^n-1}\pi\right] = [a_n, e_n] \\ 1 & \text{a.e. if } |\xi| \in \left[\frac{2^n-2}{2^n-1}\pi, \pi\right] = [e_n, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

Proof: For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$p_l = \frac{2^{n+l-1}}{2^n-1}\pi = 2^l a_n \quad \text{and} \quad q_l = \frac{2^{n+l-1}-2^l}{2^n-1}\pi = 2^{l-1}e_n.$$

Note that $p_0 = a_n$, $p_1 = 2p_0 = b_n$, $p_n = d_n$, $q_1 = e_n$ and $q_n = c_n$. Also observe that $p_l < q_{l+1} < p_{l+1}$ for all $l \geq 0$. As D_n is 2π-periodic, it is enough to compute its values for $\xi \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Since $[-\pi, \pi] \subset [-p_1, p_1]$, we will compute D_n for the interval $[-p_1, p_1]$. Note that

$$(0, p_1] = \bigcup_{l \geq 0} 2^{-l}[p_0, p_1] = \bigcup_{l \geq 0} [p_{-l}, p_{-l+1}].$$

Case 1: $\xi \in [p_0, p_1]$

If $2^m \leq k \leq 2^{m+1}-1$ $(0 \leq m \leq n-3)$, then by an elementary calculation we see that $\xi + 2k\pi \in [p_{m+2}, q_{m+3}]$. So $2^j(\xi + 2k\pi) \in [p_{j+m+2}, q_{j+m+3}]$. If $j+m+3 \leq n$ then $2^j(\xi+2k\pi) \in [p_1, q_n] = [b_n, c_n]$ which is not in supp $\hat{\psi}$, and if $j+m+3 \geq n+1$ then $2^j(\xi + 2k\pi) \geq d_n$, which shows that $2^j(\xi + 2k\pi) \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$. Now if $k \geq 2^{n-2}$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \geq d_n$. Thus, we have proved that if $k \geq 1$, then $2^j(\xi + 2k\pi) \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Similarly, we can show that if $k \leq -2$, then $2^j(\xi + 2k\pi) \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Therefore, we have only to consider $k = 0, -1$. Note that $[p_0, p_1] = [p_0, q_1] \cup [q_1, p_1]$.

(a) If $\xi \in [p_0, q_1]$, then $2^j\xi \in [p_j, q_{j+1}]$. Now $j \geq n \Rightarrow 2^j\xi \geq p_n = d_n$ and $j \leq n-1 \Rightarrow j+1 \leq n \Rightarrow$ for all $j \geq 1$, $2^j\xi \in [p_j, q_n] \subset [p_1, q_n] = [b_n, c_n]$ which is not in supp $\hat{\psi}$. Also, $\xi - 2\pi \in [-q_2, -p_1] \Rightarrow 2^j(\xi - 2\pi) \in [-q_{j+2}, -p_{j+1}]$. A similar argument as in the case of $2^j\xi$ shows that $2^j(\xi - 2\pi) \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$ for all $j \geq 1$. So $D_n(\xi) = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in [p_0, q_1]$.

(b) If $\xi \in [q_1, p_1]$, then $2^j\xi \in [q_{j+1}, p_{j+1}]$. So $2^j\xi \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$ if $j \neq n-1$. Similarly, $2^j(\xi - 2\pi) \in [-p_{j+1}, -q_{j+1}]$. So $2^j(\xi - 2\pi) \notin$ supp $\hat{\psi}$ if $j \neq n-1$. Therefore, $D_n(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(2^{n-1}\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-1}(\xi - 2\pi)\right)|^2 = 1$, by (3.5), as $2^{n-1}\xi \in [q_n, p_n] = [c_n, d_n]$.

We now proceed to compute D_n on other sets. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(\xi) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2k\pi)\right)\right|^2 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \left|\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^j(\xi + 2k\pi)\right)\right|^2 \\ &= D_n^-(\xi) + D_n^0(\xi) + D_n^+(\xi), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-l+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right] = [p_{-l+1}, p_{-l+2}]$, $l \geq 2$.

As in the above cases, we can show that if $k \leq -2^{n-2}$, or if $-2^{m+1}-1 \leq k \leq -2^m-1$ $(0 \leq m \leq n-3)$, then $2^j(\xi+2k\pi) \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Also, if $k = -2^m (0 \leq m \leq n-3)$, then $2^j(\xi+2k\pi) \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ for all $j \geq 1$ unless $j = n-m-2$. Hence, in the sum $D_n^-(\xi)$, we have only to consider $j = n-m-2$ $(0 \leq m \leq n-3)$ and $k = -2^m$. Thus,

$$D_n^-(\xi) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-m-2}(\xi - 2\cdot 2^m\pi)\right)\right|^2.$$

In a similar manner, we can show that

$$D_n^+(\xi) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-m-2}(\xi + 2\cdot 2^m\pi)\right)\right|^2.$$

Case 2: $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-l+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, $l \geq n$

By a straightforward calculation, one can show that if $l \geq n$, then

$$2^{n-m-2}(\xi + 2\cdot 2^m\pi) \in [c_n, d_n], \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n-3.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\psi}\big(2^{n-m-2}(\xi+2\cdot 2^m\pi)\big) + \hat{\psi}\big(2^{n-m-2}(\xi-2\cdot 2^m\pi)\big) \\ = \; & \hat{\psi}\big(2^{n-m-2}(\xi+2\cdot 2^m\pi)\big) + \hat{\psi}\big(2^{n-m-2}(\xi+2\cdot 2^m\pi) - 2^{n-1}\pi\big) \\ = \; & 1, \quad \text{by (3.5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get $D_n^+(\xi) + D_n^-(\xi) = n-2$.

Now, $[p_{-l+1}, p_{-l+2}] = [p_{-l+1}, q_{-l+2}] \cup [q_{-l+2}, p_{-l+2}]$.

(a) If $\xi \in [p_{-l+1}, q_{-l+2}]$, then $2^j\xi \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$, if $j \neq l-1$. But $2^{l-1}\xi \in [p_0, q_1] = [a_1, e_n]$. Therefore, $D_n^0(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(2^{l-1}\xi)|^2 = 1$.

(b) If $\xi \in [q_{-l+2}, p_{-l+2}]$, then $2^j\xi \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ if $j \neq l-1, n+l-2$. Now $2^{n+l-2}\xi \in [q_n, p_n] = [c_n, d_n]$. By (3.1) we get $D_n^0(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(2^{n+l-2}\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(2^{l-1}\xi)|^2 = 1$.

Thus, in either case $D_n(\xi) = n-2+1 = n-1$. We have proved that $D_n(\xi) = n-1$, if $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-l+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, $l \geq n$. That is, $D_n(\xi) = n-1$ for a.e. $\xi \in [0, \frac{2}{2^n-1}\pi]$.

Case 3: $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-l+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, $l = 2$

As in Case 2, it can be shown that $2^{n-m-2}(\xi - 2\cdot 2^m\pi)$ and $2^{n-m-2}(\xi + 2\cdot 2^m\pi)$ are not in supp $\hat{\psi}$ for all m such that $0 \leq m \leq n-3$. Therefore, $D_n^-(\xi) = D_n^+(\xi) = 0$.

Now if $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-2}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, then $2^j\xi \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ if $j \neq 1$; and if ξ belongs to the set $\left[\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, then $2^j\xi \notin \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ if $j \neq 1, n$. In either case,

$$D_n(\xi) = D_n^0(\xi) = 1.$$

Case 4: $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n-l+1}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ (This case is required if $n \geq 4$.)

We can write $2^{n-m-2}\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n+p-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n+p}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, where $p = n-m-l-1$. Using the condition on support of $\hat{\psi}$, we can show that if $\xi \in \left[\frac{2^{n+p-l}}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n+p}}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$, then $\xi \pm 2\cdot 2^{n-2}\pi \in \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$ only when $p \leq -1$. So in order that $\hat{\psi}(2^{n-m-2}\xi \pm 2\cdot 2^{n-2}\pi) \neq 0$, we must have $p = n-m-l-1 \leq -1$, i.e., $m \geq n-l$. We also have, $0 \leq m \leq n-3$.

Therefore, $1 \leq n-m-2 \leq l-2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(\xi) &= \sum_{j\geq 1} \left|\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} \left\{ \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-m-2}(\xi + 2\cdot 2^m\pi)\right)\right|^2 + \left|\hat{\psi}\left(2^{n-m-2}(\xi - 2\cdot 2^m\pi)\right)\right|^2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j\geq 1} \left|\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\right|^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{l-2} \left\{ \left|\hat{\psi}(2^s\xi + 2\cdot 2^{n-2}\pi)\right|^2 + \left|\hat{\psi}(2^s\xi - 2\cdot 2^{n-2}\pi)\right|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $2^s\xi + 2\cdot 2^{n-2}\pi \in [c_n, d_n]$, $1 \leq s \leq l-2$. By (3.5), the second sum on the right hand side of the last equality above is equal to $l-2$. Also as in the previous cases, the first sum can be shown to be equal to 1. Hence, $D_n(\xi) = l-1$. □

Remark 5.2. In [4] MSF wavelets were considered where they were called unimodular wavelets. Let ψ be an MSF wavelet and $K = \text{supp}\ \hat{\psi}$. Define $K^+ = K \cap [0,\infty)$ and $K^- = K \cap (-\infty, 0]$. One of the results proved in [4] is about wavelets ψ such that $K^- = -K^+$ and K^+ consists of two disjoint intervals. They proved the following:

(A) Let $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \mu(\xi)\chi_K(\xi)$, where $K = K^+ \cup K^-, K^- = -K^+$ and $K^+ = [a_n, \pi] \cup [2^{n-1}\pi, d_n]$ with $|\mu(\xi)| = 1$. Then ψ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, each MSF wavelet for which $K^- = -K^+$, and K^+ is a union of two disjoint intervals is of this form.

If we define $b = \chi_{[e_n,\pi]}$ on $[e_n, b_n]$, and extend it to S_n by using (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3.1, then we get the wavelets described in (A). In particular, for $n = 3$, the corresponding wavelet is the Journé wavelet. The paper [4] also contains the following result.

(B) Let $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \mu(\xi)\chi_K(\xi)$ where

$$K^- = \left[-2\left(1 - \frac{2p+1}{2^n-1}\right)\pi, -\left(1 - \frac{2p+1}{2^n-1}\right)\pi\right]$$

and

$$K^+ = \left[\frac{2(p+1)}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2(2p+1)}{2^n-1}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{2^n(2p+1)}{2^n-1}\pi, \frac{2^{n+1}(p+1)}{2^n-1}\pi\right]$$

for $n \geq 3$, $1 \leq p \leq 2^{n-1} - 2$ and $|\mu(\xi)| = 1$. Then ψ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, each MSF wavelet for which K^- is an interval and K^+ is the union of two disjoint intervals is of this form.

If we define $b(\xi) = 0$ on the interval $[e_n, b_n]$ and extend it to S_n by using (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3.1, then we get

$$b = \chi_{[-b_n,-a_n]\cup[a_n,e_n]\cup[c_n,d_n]}$$

which corresponds to the case $p = 2^{n-2} - 1$ in (B). In particular, for $n = 3$, we get the Lemarié wavelet.

6. Equivalence classes of wavelets

First of all, we recall the equivalence relation on the set of all wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined in [12]. Let ψ be a wavelet of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Define $V_j = \overline{sp}\{\psi_{l,k} : l < j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Then, it is easy to verify that the subspaces V_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the following properties:

1. $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$
2. $f \in V_j$ if and only if $f(2\cdot) \in V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$
3. $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\cap_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{0\}$
4. V_0 is invariant under the group of translation by integers.

A natural question is the following: Are there other groups of translations under which V_0 is invariant? For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let T_α denote the unitary operator $T_\alpha f = f(\cdot - \alpha)$. Consider the group of translations $\mathcal{G}_n = \{T_{\frac{m}{2^n}} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the group $\mathcal{G}_\infty = \{T_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_n$ be the collection of all wavelets such that the corresponding space V_0 is invariant under the group $\mathcal{G}_n$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \supset \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \mathcal{L}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_n \supset \mathcal{L}_{n+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_\infty.$$

An equivalence relation can now be defined on the set of all wavelets. The equivalence classes are given by $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{L}_n \setminus \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$, with $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_n$, $n \geq 0$ is the class of wavelets such that V_0 is invariant under the group $\mathcal{G}_n$ but not under $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$.

In [12] Weber proved the following facts.

1. $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \cap_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{L}_n$.
2. $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ is precisely the collection of all MSF wavelets.
   For the classes $\mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 1$ the following characterization is given.
3. The equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_n$, $n \geq 1$ consists of all wavelets ψ such that supp $\hat{\psi}$ is not partially self-similar with respect to any odd multiple of $2^k\pi$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, but is partially self-similar with respect to some odd multiple of $2^{n+1}\pi$.

Definition 6.1. [12] A set E is said to be partially self-similar with respect to $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if there is a set F of positive measure such that both F and $F + \alpha$ are subsets of E.

In the same paper, Weber produced examples of wavelets belonging to the first few equivalence classes $\mathcal{M}_n$, namely for $n = 0, 1, 2$ and 3. This motivated us to construct wavelets belonging to each equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_n$. After we constructed these wavelets we became aware of the article [10], in which S. Schaffer and E. Weber constructed wavelets for the classes $\mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 1$ by using the method of "operator interpolation" (see [2]). In fact, they did this for other dilation factors as well. In this section we will construct wavelets belonging to each of the classes $\mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 0$ by a different method. Our approach is simpler than that of [10] in the sense that for each $n \geq 3$, we construct a function ψ_n such that ψ_n has the required properties to be in $\mathcal{M}_{n-2}$ as characterized by Weber. Then we show that ψ_n is a wavelet. Further, we construct a family of wavelets belonging to the equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Note that, in view of the characterization of $\mathcal{M}_n$, to prove that $\psi_n \in \mathcal{M}_{n-2}$, it is sufficient to show that ψ_n satisfies the following two conditions.

a. (supp $\hat{\psi}_n$) $\cap$ (supp $\hat{\psi}_n + 2^k q\pi$) $= \emptyset$ for all $q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots n - 2$.
b. There exists a subset E of supp $\hat{\psi}_n$ such that $E + 2^{n-1}q\pi \subset$ supp $\hat{\psi}_n$ for some $q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

6.1. **Construction of wavelets in $\mathcal{M}_n$, $n \geq 1$.** Our starting point is the MSF wavelet γ_n, $n \geq 3$ given via its Fourier transform:

$$\hat{\gamma}_n = \chi_{W_n}, \quad \text{where} \quad W_n = [-b_n, -a_n] \cup [a_n, e_n] \cup [c_n, d_n].$$

The wavelet γ_n belongs to the class of band-limited wavelets characterized in Theorem 3.1 (see Remark 5.2(B)).

We will construct ψ_n from this function in the following manner. We translate the interval $[\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}] + 2^{n-1}\pi$ (which is a subset of $[c_n, d_n]$) to the left by a factor of $2^{n-1}\pi$ and assign values $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ to $\hat{\psi}_n$ on both these sets. Then, we translate $[a_n, e_n]$ to the right by a factor of $2^n\pi$ and assign to $\hat{\psi}_n$ the value $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ on $[a_n, e_n]$ and $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ on $[a_n, e_n] + 2^n\pi$. Assign the value 1 to $\hat{\psi}_n$ on the remaining sets of W_n and 0 elsewhere. More precisely, we have the following function.

$$\hat{\psi}_n(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi \in [-b_n, -a_n] \cup [c_n, \frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi] \cup [\frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, d_n] \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in [\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}] \cup [a_n, e_n] \cup [\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, \frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi] \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us make some observations about the set $F_n = \text{supp } \hat{\psi}_n$. It is easy to verify the following facts, stated in a tabular form for easy reference.

$\xi \in$ the set	$\xi + 2k\pi \notin F_n$ unless	$2^j\xi \notin F_n$ unless
$[-b_n, -e_n]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[-e_n, -a_n]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}]$	$k = 0, 2^{n-2}$	$j = 0, 1$
$[a_n, e_n]$	$k = 0, 2^{n-1}$	$j = 0, -1$
$[c_n, \frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, \frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi]$	$k = 0, -2^{n-2}$	$j = 0, 1$
$[\frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, d_n]$	$k = 0$	$j = 0$
$[a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$	$k = 0, -2^{n-1}$	$j = 0, -1$

Theorem 6.2. *For each $n \geq 3$, the function ψ_n defined above is a wavelet and belongs to the equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_{n-2}$.*

Proof: To prove that ψ_n is a wavelet, it is sufficient to show that ψ satisfies the following three properties (see Theorem 1.1).

1. $\|\psi_n\|_2 = 1$.
2. $\rho(\xi) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}_n(2^j\xi)|^2 = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. $t_q(\xi) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{\psi}_n(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}_n(2^j(\xi + 2q\pi))} = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Proof of (1):

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\psi}_n\|_2^2 &= (b_n - a_n) + \Big(\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi - c_n\Big) + d_n - \Big(\frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi\Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\Big(\frac{e_n}{2} - \frac{a_n}{2}\Big) + (e_n - a_n) + \Big(\frac{e_n}{2} - \frac{a_n}{2}\Big) + (e_n - a_n)\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad b_n - a_n + d_n - c_n + e_n - a_n = 2\pi.$$

Therefore, $\|\psi_n\|_2 = 1$.

Proof of (2):

Let $\xi > 0$. Since $\rho(\xi) = \rho(2\xi)$, it is enough to show that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in [\alpha, 2\alpha]$ for some $\alpha > 0$. We will prove that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in [a_n, 2a_n] = [a_n, b_n] = [a_n, e_n] \cup [e_n, b_n]$.

Suppose $\xi \in [a_n, e_n]$. Then $2^j\xi \in F_n$ only when $j = 0, -1$. So, $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(\frac{\xi}{2})|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \in [e_n, b_n] \Longleftrightarrow 2^{n-1}\xi &\in [c_n, d_n] \\ &= \Big[c_n, \frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi\Big] \cup \Big[\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, \frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi\Big] \\ &\quad \cup \Big[\frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, d_n\Big] \\ &= I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

If $2^{n-1}\xi \in (I_1 \cup I_3)$, then $2^j(2^{n-1}\xi) \in F_n$ only when $j = 0$ (see the table). So, $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-1}\xi)|^2 = 1$. Also if $2^{n-1}\xi \in I_2$, then $2^j(2^{n-1}\xi) \in F_n$ only when $j = 0$ or 1. So $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-1}\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}_n(2^n\xi)|^2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 + (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 = 1$. Hence, $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for a.e. $\xi > 0$.

For $\xi < 0$, it suffices to show that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ on $[-b_n, -a_n]$. In fact, from the table it is clear that if $\xi \in [-b_n, -a_n]$, then $2^j\xi \in F_n$ only when $j = 0$. So, $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}_n(\xi)|^2 = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in [-b_n, -a_n]$. Thus, we have proved that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of (3):

We now have to prove that

$$t_q(\xi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}_n(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}_n\left(2^j(\xi + 2q\pi)\right)} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.$$

Since $t_q(\xi) = \overline{t_{-q}(\xi + 2q\pi)}$ (see (3.9), it is enough to show that $t_q = 0$ a.e., if q is a negative odd integer. Suppose $q \neq -1$, and is odd. We have $2^j q \neq 0, \pm 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{n-2}$. Therefore, if $2^j\xi \in F_n$, then from the table we observe that $2^j\xi + 2 \cdot 2^j q\pi \notin F_n$, which shows that each term of the sum $t_q(\xi)$ is 0. Hence, $t_q = 0$ a.e.

It remains to prove that $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$t_{-1}(\xi) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \hat{\psi}_n(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}_n(2^j\xi - 2 \cdot 2^j\pi)}.$$

From the table (see the 8th and 6th row), we observe that both $2^j\xi$ and $2^j\xi - 2 \cdot 2^j\pi$ belong to F_n only in the following two cases :

(i) $2^j\xi \in [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$ and $j = n - 1$.
(ii) $2^j\xi \in [\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, \frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi]$ and $j = n - 2$.

But both are equivalent to saying that $2^{n-1}\xi \in [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$. So we get $t_{-1}(\xi) = 0$, if $2^{n-1}\xi \notin [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$.

Now if $2^{n-1}\xi \in [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-1}(\xi) &= \hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-2}\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-2}\xi - 2^{n-1}\pi)} + \hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-1}\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}_n(2^{n-1}\xi - 2^n\pi)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \big(-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big) \cdot \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that $t_q(\xi) = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $q \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$. Therefore, ψ_n is a wavelet.

Our claim now is that $\psi_n \in \mathcal{M}_{n-2}$. For this, we have to show that

(a) $F_n \cap (F_n + 2^k q\pi) = \emptyset$ for all $q \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots n-2$; and
(b) there exists a subset E of F_n such that $E + 2^{n-1}q\pi \subset F_n$ for some $q \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$.

As $2^k q\pi = 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} q\pi$, by referring to the table we observe that if $\xi \in F_n$, then $\xi + 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} q\pi \notin F_n$ (since q is odd and $k-1 \le n-3$). So (a) is proved. To prove (b) consider $E = [\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}]$ and $q = 1$. Then, $E + 2^{n-1}q\pi = [\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}] + 2^{n-1}\pi \subset F_n$.

Since $n \ge 3$, we have proved that the equivalence classes $M_n, n \ge 1$ are non-empty. □

6.2. **A family of wavelets belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_0$.** For $n \ge 3$, let a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n and e_n be as above. Define the function b on $[e_n, b_n]$ as follows.

$$b(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in [e_n, \pi] \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \in [\pi, b_n]. \end{cases}$$

Then we extend b to the whole of S_n by using (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3.1. Observe that $[e_n, b_n] \cap \text{supp } b \cap \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\text{supp } b\right) = [e_n, \pi]$. We define θ on $\mathbb{R}$ as

$$\theta(\xi) = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } \xi \in [e_n, \pi] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, θ satisfies the functional equation in (v) of Theorem 3.1. This choice of b and θ will give us the wavelet w_n, where $\widehat{w}_n(\xi) = e^{i\theta(\xi)} b(\xi)$. That is,

$$\widehat{w}_n(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi \in [-\pi, -a_n] \cup [a_n, e_n] \cup [2^{n-1}\pi, d_n] \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in [-d_n, -2^{n-1}\pi] \cup [-b_n, -\pi] \cup [c_n, 2^{n-1}\pi] \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in [e_n, \pi] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Weber [12, Theorem 4] also proved that a wavelet $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_1$ only if supp $\hat{\psi}$ is not partially self-similar with respect to any odd multiple of 2π. In view of this result, to show that $w_n \in \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 \setminus \mathcal{L}_1$, we only have to find a set H_n in supp $\widehat{w}_n$ such that $H_n + 2q\pi$ is also a subset of supp $\widehat{w}_n$, for some odd integer q. The choice $H_n = [-b_n, -\pi]$ and $q = 1$ will do the job, as $[-b_n, -\pi] + 2\pi = [e_n, \pi] \subset$ supp $\widehat{w}_n$.

Remark 6.3. We can easily see that the wavelets constructed in this section are non-MRA wavelets.

(i) For wavelets of §6.1: Let $\xi \in [\frac{a_n}{4}, \frac{e_n}{4}]$. Then, $2\xi \in [\frac{a_n}{2}, \frac{e_n}{2}]$, $2(\xi + 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi) \in [\frac{a_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi, \frac{e_n}{2} + 2^{n-1}\pi]$, $2^2\xi \in [a_n, e_n]$, and $2^2(\xi + 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi) \in [a_n + 2^n\pi, e_n + 2^n\pi]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\psi_n}(\xi) &\ge |\hat{\psi}(2\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(2(\xi + 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi))|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(2^2\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(2^2(\xi + 2 \cdot 2^{n-3}\pi))|^2 \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{2} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ψ_n is a non-MRA wavelet.

(ii) For wavelets of §6.2: Since supp $\widehat{w}_n \subseteq S_n$, the wavelets w_n are non-MRA wavelets, by Theorem 3.1.

References

1. Bonami, A., Soria, F., and Weiss, G. (1993). Band-limited wavelets, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **3**, 543-578.
2. Dai, X., and Larson, D. (1998). Wandering Vectors for Unitary Systems and Orthogonal Wavelets, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **134**, no. 640.
3. Gripenberg, G. (1995). A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a father wavelet, *Studia Math.*, **114**, 207-226.
4. Ha, Y.-H., Kang, H., Lee, J., and Seo, J. (1994). Unimodular wavelets for L^2 and the Hardy space H^2, *Michigan Math. J.*, **41**, 345-361.
5. Hernández, E., and Weiss, G. (1996). *A First Course on Wavelets*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
6. Hernández, E., Wang, X., and Weiss, G. (1995). Smoothing minimally supported frequency wavelets: I, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **2**, 329-340.
7. Lemarié, P. G., and Meyer, Y. (1986). Ondelettes et bases Hilbertiennes, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **2**, 1-18.
8. Lemarié, P. G., and Meyer, Y. (1989). Analyse multi-echelles et ondelettes a support compact, in *Les Ondelettes en 1989* (P. G. Lemarié, Ed.). Lecture Notes in Math., **1438**, 26-38.
9. Meyer, Y. (1985-86). *Principe d'incertitude, bases hilbertiennes et algèbres d'opérateurs.* Séminaire Bourbaki, 38 année, No. 662.
10. Schaffer, S., Weber, E. (2000). Wavelets having the translation invariance property of order n, preprint.
11. Wang, X. (1995). *The study of wavelets from the properties of their Fourier transforms.* Ph.D. thesis, Washington University in St. Louis.
12. Weber, E. (2000). On the translation invariance of wavelet subspaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **6**, 551-558.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India
E-mail address: bbehera@iitk.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India
E-mail address: madan@iitk.ac.in